

# EVERY FINITE GROUP IS THE GROUP OF SELF-HOMOTOPY EQUIVALENCES OF AN ELLIPTIC SPACE

CRISTINA COSTOYA AND ANTONIO VIRUEL

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we prove that every finite group  $G$  can be realized as the group of self-homotopy equivalences of infinitely many elliptic spaces  $X$ . Moreover,  $X$  can be chosen to be the rationalization of an inflexible compact simply connected manifold.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For simply connected CW-complexes  $X$  of finite type, we are interested in the group of homotopy classes of self-equivalences,  $\mathcal{E}(X)$ , and the realizability problem for groups. Namely, if a given group  $G$  can appear as the group  $\mathcal{E}(X)$  for some space  $X$ . This problem has been placed as the first to solve in a list of open problems about self-equivalences [2] (see also [15]).

Apart from the group of automorphisms of a group  $\pi$ ,  $\text{Aut}(\pi)$ , which is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{E}(K(\pi, n))$  for an Eilenberg-MacLane space  $K(\pi, n)$ , no general results are known in this context. A special mention deserves the cyclic group of order 2. Arkowitz and Lupton [5] show that it is the group of self-homotopy equivalences of a rational space, pointing out the surprising appearance of a finite group in rational homotopy theory. They raise the question of which finite groups can be realized as the group of self-homotopy equivalences of a rational space. The techniques used so far [22], [28], [24], [3], [26], [30], [7], [8], are specific to certain groups and have not proved fruitful when addressing this problem in general. In this paper, we give a complete answer to the question by proving the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Every finite group  $G$  can be realized as the group of self-homotopy equivalences of infinitely many (non homotopy equivalent) rational elliptic spaces  $X$ .*

To construct those spaces, we introduce a new technique which we hope can be useful for obtaining examples with interesting properties in subjects of different nature such as in differential geometry (Section 3) or in representation theory [11]. We construct a contravariant functor from a subcategory of finite graphs to the homotopy category of differential graded commutative algebras whose cohomology is 1-connected and of finite type. Then, the geometric realization functor of Sullivan [32] gives the equivalence of categories between the homotopy category of minimal Sullivan algebras, and the homotopy category of rational simply connected spaces of finite type. In fact, in this paper we prove the following theorem.

---

First author is partially supported by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (European FEDER support included), grant MTM2009-14464-C02-01, and by Xunta Galicia grant Incite 09 207 215 PR.

Second author is partially supported by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (European FEDER support included), grant MTM2010-18089, and JA grants FQM-213 and P07-FQM-2863.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a finite connected graph with more than one vertex. Then, there exists an elliptic minimal Sullivan algebra  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}}$  such that the group of automorphisms of  $\mathcal{G}$  is realizable by the group of self-homotopy equivalences of  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}}$ .*

Our idea of using graphs has its origin on the following classical result ([18], [19]).

**Theorem 1.3** (Frucht, 1936). *Given a finite group  $G$ , there exist infinitely many non-isomorphic connected (finite) graphs  $\mathcal{G}$  whose automorphism group is isomorphic to  $G$ .*

Because the equivalence given by the geometric realization functor of Sullivan, Theorem 1.1 follows directly from Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 (see Proposition 2.7). Applying Theorem 1.1 to the trivial group, we supply a partial answer to Problem 3 in [24]. This problem consists on determining spaces, which were thought to be quite rare [22], with a trivial group of self-homotopy equivalences, the so-called homotopically-rigid spaces.

**Corollary 1.4.** *There exist infinitely many rational spaces that are homotopically-rigid.*

Recall that in homotopy theory, naive dichotomy [14] classifies spaces in either elliptic or hyperbolic. Ellipticity is a very severe restriction on a space  $X$  being remarkable that many of the spaces which play an important role in geometry are rationally elliptic. In particular the rational cohomology of  $X$  satisfies Poincaré duality [21] and, with extra hypothesis on the dimension of the fundamental class,  $X$  is the rational homotopy type of a simply connected manifold ([6], [32]). Indeed, most of the spaces in Theorem 1.1 have the rational homotopy type of a special class of simply connected manifolds called inflexible. A manifold  $M$  is called inflexible if all its self-maps have degree  $-1, 0$ , or  $1$ . The work of Crowley-Löh [12] relates the existence of inflexible  $d$ -manifolds with the existence of functorial semi-norms on singular homology in degree  $d$  that are positive and finite on certain homology classes of simply connected spaces, solving in the negative a question raised by Gromov [20]. Following those ideas we prove the following.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Any finite group  $G$  can be realized by the group of self-homotopy equivalences of the rationalization of an inflexible manifold  $M$ .*

**Corollary 1.6.** *For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n > 1$ , there are functorial semi-norms on singular homology in degree  $d = 415 + 160n$  that are positive and finite on certain homology classes of simply connected spaces.*

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, for any finite connected graph  $\mathcal{G}$ , we construct a minimal Sullivan algebra  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}}$  such that its group of self-homotopy equivalences,  $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}})$ , is isomorphic to the automorphisms group of the graph,  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{G})$ . The construction, restricted to a suitable category of graphs, gives a contravariant faithful functor injective on objects (see Remark 2.8). This algebra  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}}$  is inspired on [5] where some examples of minimal Sullivan algebras, verifying that the monoid of homotopy classes of self-maps is neither trivial nor infinite, are constructed, thus disproving a conjecture of Copeland-Shar [10]. Our construction gives infinitely many examples of this nature (see Theorem 2.6). In Section 3, we upgrade our construction in order for it to be the rational homotopy type of an inflexible manifold  $M$ .

For the basic facts about graphs, we refer to [9]. Only simple graphs  $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$  will be considered. This means that they do not have loops and they are not directed, that is, for any  $u$  vertex in  $V$ , the edge  $(u, u)$  is not in  $E$  and, if an edge  $(v, w)$  is in  $E$ , then  $(w, v)$  is also in  $E$ . We refer to [17] for basic facts in rational homotopy theory. Only simply connected  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebras of finite type are considered. If  $W$  is a graded rational vector space, we write  $\Lambda W$  for the free commutative graded algebra on  $W$ . This is a symmetric algebra on  $W^{\text{even}}$  tensored with an exterior algebra on  $W^{\text{odd}}$ . A Sullivan algebra is a commutative differential graded algebra which is free as commutative graded algebra on a simply connected graded vector space  $W$  of finite dimension in each degree and whose differential has the property that if  $\omega \in W^r$  then  $d(\omega) \in \Lambda(W^{<r})$ . It is minimal if in addition  $d(W) \subset \Lambda^{\geq 2}W$ . A Sullivan algebra is pure if  $d = 0$  on  $W^{\text{even}}$  and  $d(W^{\text{odd}}) \subset W^{\text{even}}$ .

## 2. FROM GRAPHS TO ELLIPTIC SULLIVAN ALGEBRAS

Ellipticity for a Sullivan algebra  $(\Lambda W, d)$  means that both  $W$  and  $H^*(\Lambda W)$  are finite-dimensional. Hence, the cohomology is a Poincaré duality algebra [21]. One can easily compute the degree of its fundamental class (a fundamental class of a Poincaré duality algebra  $H = \sum_{i=0}^n H^i$  is a generator of  $H^n$ ,  $n$  is called the formal dimension of the algebra) by the formula:

$$\sum_{i=1}^p (\deg x_i) - \sum_{j=1}^q (\deg y_j - 1) \quad (1)$$

where  $\deg x_i$  are the degrees of the elements on a basis of  $W^{\text{odd}}$  and  $\deg y_j$  of a basis of  $W^{\text{even}}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** For a finite connected graph  $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$  with more than one vertex, we define the minimal Sullivan algebra associated to  $\mathcal{G}$  as

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}} = \left( \Lambda(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, y_3, z) \otimes \Lambda(x_v, z_v \mid v \in V), d \right)$$

where degrees and differential are described by

$$\begin{aligned} \deg x_1 &= 8, & d(x_1) &= 0 \\ \deg x_2 &= 10, & d(x_2) &= 0 \\ \deg y_1 &= 33, & d(y_1) &= x_1^3 x_2 \\ \deg y_2 &= 35, & d(y_2) &= x_1^2 x_2^2 \\ \deg y_3 &= 37, & d(y_3) &= x_1 x_2^3 \\ \deg x_v &= 40, & d(x_v) &= 0 \\ \deg z &= 119, & d(z) &= y_1 y_2 x_1^4 x_2^2 - y_1 y_3 x_1^5 x_2 + y_2 y_3 x_1^6 + x_1^{15} + x_2^{12} \\ \deg z_v &= 119, & d(z_v) &= x_v^3 + \sum_{(v,w) \in E} x_v x_w x_2^4. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.2.** The constructed  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}} = (\Lambda W, d)$  is an elliptic minimal Sullivan algebra of formal dimension  $n = 208 + 80|V|$ , with  $|V|$  the order of the graph.

*Proof.* We need to prove that the cohomology of  $(\Lambda W, d)$  is finite-dimensional. Instead, we will prove that the cohomology of the pure Sullivan algebra associated with  $(\Lambda W, d)$  is finite-dimensional, which is an equivalent condition [17, Proposition 32.4].

The pure Sullivan algebra associated with  $(\Lambda W, d)$ , denoted by  $(\Lambda W, d_\sigma)$ , is determined by its differential which is described by

$$\begin{aligned} d_\sigma(x_1) &= 0 & d_\sigma(y_1) &= x_1^3 x_2 \\ d_\sigma(x_2) &= 0 & d_\sigma(y_2) &= x_1^2 x_2^2 & d_\sigma(z) &= x_1^{15} + x_2^{12} \\ d_\sigma(x_v) &= 0, v \in V & d_\sigma(y_3) &= x_1 x_2^3 & d_\sigma(z_v) &= x_v^3 + \sum_{(v,w) \in E} x_v x_w x_2^4, v \in V. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the cohomology of  $(\Lambda W, d_\sigma)$  is finite-dimensional because  $d_\sigma(zx_1^2 - y_2x_2^{10}) = x_1^{17}$ ,  $d_\sigma(zx_2 - y_1x_1^{12}) = x_2^{13}$ , and the cohomology class  $[x_v^3]^4 = [-\sum_{(v,w) \in E} x_v x_w x_2^4]^4 = 0$ . Now, the formal dimension of  $(\Lambda W, d)$  is immediately obtained by Equation (1).  $\square$

Our next step is to describe  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{M}_G, \mathcal{M}_G)$ . Actually, it is the most demanding task in this paper. Recall that an automorphism of  $\mathcal{G}$  is a permutation  $\sigma$  on  $V$  with  $(v, w)$  in  $E$  if and only if  $(\sigma(v), \sigma(w)) \in E$  for every  $(v, w)$  in  $E$ . The following is a straightforward result.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Every  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G})$  induces  $f_\sigma$  an automorphism of  $\mathcal{M}_G$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $f_\sigma : \mathcal{M}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_G$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} f_\sigma(\omega) &= \omega, & \omega &\in \{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, y_3, z\} \\ f_\sigma(x_v) &= x_{\sigma(v)}, & v &\in V \\ f_\sigma(z_v) &= z_{\sigma(v)}, & v &\in V. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *For every  $f \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{M}_G, \mathcal{M}_G)$  one of the following holds.*

(1) *If  $f$  is an automorphism, then there exists  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G})$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} f(\omega) &= f_\sigma(\omega), & \omega &\in \{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, y_3, x_v \mid v \in V\} \\ f(z) &= f_\sigma(z) + d(m_z), & m_z &\in \mathcal{M}_G^{118} \\ f(z_v) &= f_\sigma(z_v) + d(m_{z_v}), & v \in V, m_{z_v} &\in \mathcal{M}_G^{118}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) *If  $f$  is not an automorphism, then there exist  $s \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $f_s \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{M}_G, \mathcal{M}_G)$  defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} f_s(\omega) &= s\omega, & \omega &\in \{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, y_3, z\} \\ f_s(x_v) &= 0, & v &\in V \\ f_s(z_v) &= 0, & v &\in V \end{aligned}$$

*such that*

$$\begin{aligned} f(\omega) &= f_s(\omega), & \omega &\in \{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, y_3, x_v \mid v \in V\} \\ f(z) &= f_s(z) + d(m_z), & m_z &\in \mathcal{M}_G^{118} \\ f(z_v) &= f_s(z_v) + d(m_{z_v}), & v \in V, m_{z_v} &\in \mathcal{M}_G^{118}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* For  $f \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{M}_G, \mathcal{M}_G)$ , by degrees reasoning we write

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x_1) &= a_1 x_1 \\
f(x_2) &= a_2 x_2 \\
f(y_1) &= b_1 y_1 \\
f(y_2) &= b_2 y_2 \\
f(y_3) &= b_3 y_3 \\
f(x_v) &= \sum_{w \in V} a(v, w) x_w + a_1(v) x_1^5 + a_2(v) x_2^4, \quad v \in V \\
f(z) &= cz + \sum_{w \in V} c(w) z_w \\
&\quad + \alpha_1 y_1 x_1^2 x_2^7 + \beta_1 y_2 x_1^3 x_2^6 + \gamma_1 y_3 x_1^4 x_2^5 \\
&\quad + \alpha_2 y_1 x_1^7 x_2^3 + \beta_2 y_2 x_1^8 x_2^2 + \gamma_2 y_3 x_1^9 x_2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{w \in V} x_w (\alpha_3(w) y_1 x_1^2 x_2^3 + \beta_3(w) y_2 x_1^3 x_2^2 + \gamma_3(w) y_3 x_1^4 x_2) \\
f(z_v) &= e(v) z + \sum_{w \in V} c(v, w) z_w \\
&\quad + \alpha_1(v) y_1 x_1^2 x_2^7 + \beta_1(v) y_2 x_1^3 x_2^6 + \gamma_1(v) y_3 x_1^4 x_2^5 \\
&\quad + \alpha_2(v) y_1 x_1^7 x_2^3 + \beta_2(v) y_2 x_1^8 x_2^2 + \gamma_2(v) y_3 x_1^9 x_2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{w \in V} x_w (\alpha_3(v, w) y_1 x_1^2 x_2^3 + \beta_3(v, w) y_2 x_1^3 x_2^2 + \gamma_3(v, w) y_3 x_1^4 x_2), \quad v \in V.
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Since  $df(y_i) = f(dy_i)$ , for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  we obtain

$$b_1 = a_1^3 a_2 \quad b_2 = a_1^2 a_2^2 \quad b_3 = a_1 a_2^3. \tag{3}$$

Since  $df(z) = f(dz)$ , the two expressions below must be equal

$$\begin{aligned}
df(z) &= c(x_1^4 x_2^2 y_1 y_2 - x_1^5 x_2 y_1 y_3 + x_1^6 y_2 y_3 + x_1^{15} + x_2^{12}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{w \in V} c(w) (x_w^3 + \sum_{(w, u) \in E} x_w x_u x_2^4) \\
&\quad + (\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \gamma_1) x_1^5 x_2^8 \\
&\quad + (\alpha_2 + \beta_2 + \gamma_2) x_1^{10} x_2^4 \\
&\quad + \sum_{w \in V} (\alpha_3(w) + \beta_3(w) + \gamma_3(w)) x_w x_1^5 x_2^4, \\
f(dz) &= b_1 b_2 a_1^4 a_2^2 y_1 y_2 x_1^4 x_2^2 - b_1 b_3 a_1^5 a_2 y_1 y_3 x_1^5 x_2 \\
&\quad + b_2 b_3 a_1^6 y_2 y_3 x_1^6 + a_1^{15} x_1^{15} + a_2^{12} x_2^{12}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
c &= a_1^{15} = a_2^{12} \\
c &= b_1 b_2 a_1^4 a_2^2 \\
c &= b_1 b_3 a_1^5 a_2 \\
c &= b_2 b_3 a_1^6 \\
c(w) &= 0, \quad \text{for all } w \in V \\
\alpha_i + \beta_i + \gamma_i &= 0, \quad i = 1, 2 \\
\alpha_3(w) + \beta_3(w) + \gamma_3(w) &= 0, \quad \text{for all } w \in V.
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Equations (3) and (4) are the same as in [5, Example 5.1]. Therefore

$$a_1 = a_2 = b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = c = s, \text{ with } s \in \{0, 1\}.$$

This yields to

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x_1) &= s x_1, & f(y_1) &= s y_1, & f(z) &= s z + d(\beta_1 y_1 y_2 x_2^5 + \gamma_1 y_1 y_3 x_1 x_2^4) \\
f(x_2) &= s x_2, & f(y_2) &= s y_2, & &+ d(\beta_2 y_1 y_2 x_1^5 x_2 + \gamma_2 y_1 y_3 x_1^6) \\
f(y_3) &= s y_3, & & & &+ \sum_{w \in V} d(\beta_3(w) y_1 y_2 x_w x_2 + \gamma_3(w) y_1 y_3 x_w x_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Assume first  $s = 1$ . Since  $df(z_v) = f(dz_v)$ , the following two expressions must be equal

$$df(z_v) = e(v)(y_1 y_2 x_1^4 x_2^4 - y_1 y_3 x_1^5 x_2 + y_2 y_3 x_1^6 + x_1^{15} + x_2^{12}) \tag{5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&+ \sum_{w \in V} c(v, w)(x_w^3 + \sum_{(w, u) \in E} x_w x_u x_2^4) \\
&+ (\alpha_1(v) + \beta_1(v) + \gamma_1(v)) x_1^5 x_2^8 \\
&+ (\alpha_2(v) + \beta_2(v) + \gamma_2(v)) x_1^{10} x_2^4 \\
&+ \sum_{w \in V} (\alpha_3(v, w) + \beta_3(v, w) + \gamma_3(v, w)) x_w x_1^5 x_2^4 \\
f(dz_v) &= \left( \sum_{w \in V} a(v, w) x_w + a_1(v) x_1^5 + a_2(v) x_2^4 \right)^3 \\
&+ \sum_{(v, r) \in E} \left( \sum_{w \in V} a(v, w) x_w + a_1(v) x_1^5 + a_2(v) x_2^4 \right) \left( \sum_{s \in V} a(r, s) x_s + a_1(r) x_1^5 + a_2(r) x_2^4 \right) x_2^4.
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Close examination of these equations yields the following remarks. Firstly, there is no summand of type  $x_v x_w x_u$ ,  $v \neq w \neq u \neq v$  in (5), and therefore there is at most two non trivial coefficients  $a(v, w)$  in (6). As for (5) there is no summand of type  $x_w^2 x_r$ , there is only one non trivial coefficient  $a(v, w)$  in (6). Hence there is, at most, a unique summand  $x_w^3$  in (6) and a unique non trivial coefficient  $c(v, w)$  in (5). Secondly, comparing the coefficients of  $y_1 y_2 x_1^4 x_2^4$  and  $x_1^{15}$ , we obtain

$$e(v) = a_1(v) = 0.$$

Now, there is no term of type  $x_w^2 x_2^4$  in (5) (the graph does not contain any loop) so we deduce that

$$a_2(v) = 0.$$

Finally, comparing the coefficients of  $x_1^5 x_2^8$ ,  $x_1^{10} x_2^4$  and  $x_w x_1^5 x_2^4$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i(v) + \beta_i(v) + \gamma_i(v) &= 0, \text{ for } i = 1, 2 \\ \alpha_3(v, w) + \beta_3(v, w) + \gamma_3(v, w) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_v) &= a(v, \sigma(v)) x_{\sigma(v)} \\ f(z_v) &= c(v, \sigma(v)) z_{\sigma(v)} \\ &\quad + d(\beta_1(v) x_2^5 y_1 y_2 + \gamma_1(v) x_1 x_2^4 y_1 y_3) \\ &\quad + d(\beta_2(v) x_1^5 x_2 y_1 y_2 + \gamma_2(v) x_1^6 y_1 y_3) \\ &\quad + \sum_{w \in V} d(\beta_3(v, w) x_w x_2 y_1 y_2 + \gamma_3(v, w) x_w x_1 y_1 y_3) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma$  is a self-map of  $V$  and

$$\begin{aligned} c(v, \sigma(v)) &= a(v, \sigma(v))^3 \text{ for all } v \in V \\ c(v, \sigma(v)) &= a(v, \sigma(v)) a(w, \sigma(w)) \text{ for all } (v, w) \in E. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $a(v, \sigma(v))^2 = a(w, \sigma(w))$  if  $(v, w) \in E$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}$  is not a directed graph (which implies that if  $(v, w) \in E$  then  $(w, v) \in E$  too) we deduce that  $a(w, \sigma(w))^2 = a(v, \sigma(v))$ , and hence  $a(v, \sigma(v))^4 = a(v, \sigma(v))$ . Moreover, since  $\mathcal{G}$  is connected, one of the following holds

- i)  $a(v, \sigma(v)) = c(v, \sigma(v)) = 0$  for all  $v \in V$ , which proves Lemma 2.4.(2) for  $s = 1$ .
- ii)  $a(v, \sigma(v)) = c(v, \sigma(v)) = 1$  for all  $v \in V$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_v) &= x_{\sigma(v)} \\ f(z_v) &= z_{\sigma(v)} \\ &\quad + d(\beta_1(v) x_2^5 y_1 y_2 + \gamma_1(v) x_1 x_2^4 y_1 y_3) \\ &\quad + d(\beta_2(v) x_1^5 x_2 y_1 y_2 + \gamma_2(v) x_1^6 y_1 y_3) \\ &\quad + \sum_{w \in V} d(\beta_3(v, w) x_w x_2 y_1 y_2 + \gamma_3(v, w) x_w x_1 y_1 y_3). \end{aligned}$$

The self-map  $\sigma: V \rightarrow V$  is, in fact, an element in  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{G})$ . We first show that  $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G})$ , that is,  $(v, w) \in E$  if and only if  $(\sigma(v), \sigma(w)) \in E$ . Indeed,  $(v, w) \in E$  if and only if there is a summand  $x_v x_w x_2^4$  in  $d(z_v)$ , hence, if and only if there is a summand  $x_{\sigma(v)} x_{\sigma(w)} x_2^4$  in  $f(dz_v) = df(z_v) = d(z_{\sigma(v)})$ , that is, if and only if  $(\sigma(v), \sigma(w)) \in E$ . Now, since for every  $v \in V$ ,  $f(dz_v) = d(z_{\sigma(v)})$ ,  $\sigma$  is one-to-one on the neighborhood of every vertex. Therefore  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G})$  [27, Lemma 1], which proves Lemma 2.4.(1).

Assume now that  $s = 0$ . Then

$$f(dz_v) = \left( \sum_{w \in V} a(v, w)x_w + a_1(v)x_1^5 + a_2(v)x_2^4 \right)^3. \quad (7)$$

Since  $df(z_v) = f(dz_v)$ , an argument similar to the one above, comparing (5) and (7), yields to

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_v) &= 0 \\ f(z_v) &= 0 \\ &+ d(\beta_1(v)x_2^5y_1y_2 + \gamma_1(v)x_1x_2^4y_1y_3) \\ &+ d(\beta_2(v)x_1^5x_2y_1y_2 + \gamma_2(v)x_1^6y_1y_3) \\ &+ \sum_{w \in V} d(\beta_3(v, w)x_wx_2y_1y_2 + \gamma_3(v, w)x_wx_1y_1y_3), \end{aligned}$$

which proves Lemma 2.4.(2) for  $s = 0$ .  $\square$

As we mentioned in Section 1, isomorphism classes of minimal Sullivan algebras whose cohomology is 1-connected and of finite type are in bijection with rational homotopy types for simply connected spaces with rational homology of finite type. Also, the homotopy classes of morphisms of the corresponding minimal Sullivan algebras are in bijection with the homotopy classes of maps between the corresponding rational homotopy types. Recall that two morphisms from a Sullivan algebra to an arbitrary commutative cochain algebra,  $\phi_o, \phi_1 : (\Lambda W, d) \rightarrow (A, d)$  are homotopic if there exists  $H : (\Lambda W, d) \rightarrow (A, d) \otimes (\Lambda(t, dt), d)$  such that  $(id \cdot \epsilon_i)H = \phi_i, i = 0, 1$ , where  $\deg t = 0$ ,  $\deg dt = 1$ , and  $d$  the differential sending  $t \mapsto dt$ . The augmentations  $\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1 : \Lambda(t, dt) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  are defined by  $\epsilon_0(t) = 0$ ,  $\epsilon_1(t) = 1$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** *For any  $f \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}})$ , one of the following holds.*

- (1) *There exists  $f_\sigma$  automorphism, as in Lemma 2.4.(1), such that  $f$  is homotopic to  $f_\sigma$ .*
- (2) *There exists  $f_s$  as in Lemma 2.4.(2), such that  $f$  is homotopic to  $f_s$ .*

*Proof.* Follows directly from Lemma 2.4.  $\square$

Gathering Lemma 2.3, Lemma 2.4, and Lemma 2.5, we have proved the following result from which we deduce Theorem 1.2 as a corollary.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a finite connected graph with more than one vertex. Then, there exists an elliptic minimal Sullivan algebra  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}}$  such that the set of homotopy classes of self-maps is*

$$[\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}}] \cong \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}) \sqcup \{f_s : s = 0, 1\}.$$

*Therefore  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}) \cong \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}})$ .*

We finish this section with some comments on the properties of the construction above. The following, together with Theorem 1.3, justifies the infinitely many rational spaces  $X$  from Theorem 1.1 (see also Remark 2.9).

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}_1 = (V, E)$  and  $\mathcal{G}_2 = (V', E')$  be non isomorphic graphs. Then,  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}_1}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}_2}$  are non isomorphic algebras.*



*Proof.* Assume that  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}_1}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}_2}$  are isomorphic, and denote  $f$  such an isomorphism. Since

$$|V| + 2 = \dim \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}_1}^{40} = \dim \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}_2}^{40} = |V'| + 2,$$

we have  $|V| = |V'|$  and, without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\mathcal{G}_1$  and  $\mathcal{G}_2$  have the same set of vertices  $V$ . Then, the isomorphism  $f$  is described by the Equation (2). Reproducing the same steps as in the proof of Lemma 2.4, we get that  $f$  is homotopic to  $f_\sigma$ , where  $\sigma$  is a permutation of  $V$  such that  $(v, w) \in E$  if and only if  $(\sigma(v), \sigma(w)) \in E'$ . That is,  $\sigma$  induces an isomorphism between  $\mathcal{G}_1$  and  $\mathcal{G}_2$ .  $\square$

The construction of  $\mathcal{M}$  is functorial when considering the appropriate category of graphs. Recall that given  $\mathcal{G}_1 = (V, E)$  and  $\mathcal{G}_2 = (V', E')$ , a morphism  $\sigma: \mathcal{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2$  is said to be full if for every pair of vertices  $v, w \in V$ ,  $(v, w) \in E$  if and only if  $(\sigma(v), \sigma(w)) \in E'$ .

**Remark 2.8.** Let  $\text{Graph}_{fm}$  be the category whose objects are finite graphs with more than one vertex, and morphisms are full graph monomorphisms. Then construction  $\mathcal{M}$  provides a contravariant faithful functor which is injective on objects (an embedding) from  $\text{Graph}_{fm}$  to the category of Sullivan algebras. Let  $\mathcal{G}_1 = (V, E)$  and  $\mathcal{G}_2 = (V', E')$  be graphs, and  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}_1}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}_2}$  be the associated minimal Sullivan algebras provided by Theorem 2.6. If  $\sigma: \mathcal{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2$  in  $\text{Graph}_{fm}$ , then there is a morphism of minimal Sullivan algebras  $\mathcal{M}(\sigma): \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}_1}$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(\sigma)(x_1) &= x_1 \\ \mathcal{M}(\sigma)(x_2) &= x_2 \\ \mathcal{M}(\sigma)(y_1) &= y_1 \\ \mathcal{M}(\sigma)(y_2) &= y_2 \\ \mathcal{M}(\sigma)(y_3) &= y_3 \\ \mathcal{M}(\sigma)(x_{v'}) &= \begin{cases} x_v & \text{if } \sigma(v) = v' \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \mathcal{M}(\sigma)(z) &= z \\ \mathcal{M}(\sigma)(z_{v'}) &= \begin{cases} z_v & \text{if } \sigma(v) = v' \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

If  $\mathcal{G}_1 = \mathcal{G}_2$ , then  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}_1)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(\sigma) = f_{\sigma^{-1}}$  as described in Lemma 2.3.

We finish this section illustrating other possible constructions of  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}}$  for a given graph  $\mathcal{G}$ .

**Remark 2.9.** The construction of  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}}$  is not unique, that is, given a finite connected graph  $\mathcal{G}$  with more than one vertex, there exist infinitely many non isomorphic minimal Sullivan algebras whose group of self-homotopy equivalences is isomorphic to  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{G})$ . In fact, given a non trivial vector  $(u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{Q}^2$ , it is possible to construct a minimal Sullivan algebra  $(\mathcal{M}_{(u_1, u_2)}, d_{(u_1, u_2)})$  having the same generators as  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}}$  and,  $d_{(u_1, u_2)}$  equals  $d$  in every generator but

$$d_{(u_1, u_2)}(z_v) = x_v^3 + \sum_{(v, w) \in E} x_v x_w (u_1 x_1^5 + u_2 x_2^4).$$

## 3. FROM GRAPHS TO INFLEXIBLE MANIFOLDS

Inflexibility for an oriented compact closed manifold  $M$  means that the set of mapping degrees ranging over all continuous self-maps is finite. By composition of self-maps it is obvious that it is equivalent to demanding that all its self-maps have degree  $-1, 0$ , or  $1$ . For an elliptic (hence Poincaré duality) Sullivan algebra  $(\Lambda W, d)$  of formal dimension  $n$ , inflexibility means that, for every  $f \in \text{Hom}((\Lambda W, d), (\Lambda W, d))$  and, for  $x$  a representative of the fundamental class in  $H^n(\Lambda W, d)$ , the cohomology class  $[f(x)] = a[x]$ , with  $a \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{M} = (\Lambda W, d)$  be an elliptic Sullivan algebra of formal dimension  $2n$ . Choose  $x \in \mathcal{M}^{2n}$  representing the fundamental class in  $H^{2n}(\mathcal{M})$ . Define the Sullivan algebra  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = (\Lambda W \otimes \Lambda(y), \widetilde{d})$  with  $\widetilde{d}|_W = d$ ,  $\deg y = 2n - 1$ , and  $\widetilde{d}(y) = x$ . Then,  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  is an elliptic Sullivan algebra of formal dimension  $4n - 1$ . Moreover, if we choose  $z \in \mathcal{M}^{4n-1}$  such that  $d(z) = x^2$  then,  $xy - z$  is a representative of the fundamental class in  $H^{4n-1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}})$ .*

*Proof.* First, notice that since  $(W \oplus \mathbb{Q}y)^{\text{even}} = W^{\text{even}}$ , every element in  $H^*(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}})$  is nilpotent because every element in  $H^*(\mathcal{M})$  is nilpotent. Hence  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  is elliptic and the formal dimension is easily obtained by Equation (1).

Now,  $\widetilde{d}(xy - z) = x\widetilde{d}(y) - d(z) = 0$ . Let us see that it is not a boundary. Assume that  $xy - z = \widetilde{d}(\omega)$  for  $\omega = \omega_1 y + \omega_2 \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{4n-2}$ ,  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then,  $xy - z = d(\omega_1)y + \omega_1 x + d(\omega_2)$ . Since  $z, \omega_1 x, d(\omega_2) \in \mathcal{M}$ , we deduce that  $xy = d(\omega_1)y$ , and so  $x = d(\omega_1)$ . This contradicts the fact that  $x$  is a representative of the fundamental class.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *The Sullivan algebra  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  is inflexible if  $\mathcal{M}$  is inflexible.*

*Proof.* For  $\widetilde{f} \in \text{Hom}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}})$ , we denote by  $f = \widetilde{f}|_{\mathcal{M}}$ . Then  $\widetilde{f}(xy - z) = f(x)\widetilde{f}(y) - f(z)$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is inflexible,  $f(x) = ax + d(m_x)$  with  $a \in \{1, 0, -1\}$ . Applying  $d$  to  $f(z)$ , and using  $d(z) = x^2$ , a straightforward calculation shows that

$$f(z) = a^2 z + (2axm_x + m_x d(m_x)) + d(\gamma).$$

Applying now  $\widetilde{d}$  to  $\widetilde{f}(y)$ , and using  $\widetilde{d}(y) = x$ , a straightforward calculation shows that

$$\widetilde{f}(y) = ay + m_x + d(\gamma').$$

Hence  $[\widetilde{f}(xy - z)] = a^2[xy - z]$ , proving that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$  is inflexible.  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** *Any  $\widetilde{f} \in \text{Hom}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}})$  is determined, up to homotopy, by its restriction to  $\mathcal{M}$ . The only undetermined term appears when  $\widetilde{f}(y)$  is computed. This means that if  $\widetilde{f}_1|_{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $\widetilde{f}_2|_{\mathcal{M}}$  are equal, then  $\widetilde{f}_1(y) - \widetilde{f}_2(y) = d(\gamma'_1 - \gamma'_2)$ . Hence  $\widetilde{f}_1$  and  $\widetilde{f}_2$  are homotopic. The same way, any  $f \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$  can be extended to  $\text{Hom}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}})$  in, up to homotopy, an unique way. Therefore,  $[\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}] \cong [\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}]$  as monoids. In particular,  $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M}) \cong \mathcal{E}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}})$ .*

We can now prove Theorem 1.5.

*Proof of Theorem 1.5.* Let  $G$  be a finite group. There exists a finite and connected graph  $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$  such that  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}) \cong G$  (Theorem 1.3). Associated to the graph  $\mathcal{G}$  of order  $n$ , there exists an elliptic minimal Sullivan algebra  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}}$  (of formal dimension  $208 + 80n$ ) such

that  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}) \cong \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}})$  (Theorem 2.6). We modify  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}}$  into an elliptic (minimal) Sullivan algebra  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{G}}$  of formal dimension  $(416 + 160n) - 1$  (Proposition 3.1) which, by Lemma 3.2 is inflexible since  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}}$  is inflexible. This is clear because  $[\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}}] \cong G \sqcup \{f_0, f_1\}$  is finite and, because of the multiplicativity of the mapping degree.

Now, since the formal dimension  $415 + 160n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , the theorem of Sullivan [32, Theorem (13.2)] and Barge [6, Théorème 1] gives a sufficient condition for the realization of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{G}}$  by a simply connected manifold  $M$ .

Finally, by Remark 3.3,  $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}}) \cong \mathcal{E}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{G}})$ . Hence, putting the isomorphisms of groups altogether, we get

$$G \cong \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}) \cong \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}}) \cong \mathcal{E}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{G}}) \cong \mathcal{E}(M_0),$$

where  $M_0$  is the rational homotopy type of  $M$ . □

The question of whether certain orientation-reversing maps on manifolds exist is treated in literature (see for example [29], [1]). Examples of these manifolds are provided by Theorem 1.5.

**Corollary 3.4.** *For any  $n > 1$ , there exists a simply connected manifold  $M$  of dimension  $415 + 160n$  that does not admit a reversing orientation self-map.*

*Proof.* The existence of such a manifold  $M$  is given by Theorem 1.5 for a graph  $\mathcal{G}$  of order  $n$ . Then,  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{G}}$  is the minimal Sullivan algebra of the rational homotopy type of  $M$ . Now, any self-map of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{G}}$ , is shown to verify  $\deg(\widetilde{f}) = \deg(\widetilde{f}|_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}}})^2$  (proof of Lemma 3.2). Therefore, any self-map of  $M$  has either degree 0 or 1. □

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Amann, *Mapping degrees of self-maps of simply-connected manifolds*, Preprint arXiv:1109.0960v1.
- [2] M. Arkowitz, *Problems on self-homotopy equivalences*, in: *Groups of homotopy self-equivalences and related topics*, Contemp. Math., **274** (2001), 309–315.
- [3] M. Arkowitz, *The group of self-homotopy equivalences-a survey*, in: *Groups of self-equivalences and related topics*, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer, **1425** (1990), 170–203.
- [4] M. Arkowitz, G. Lupton, *On Finiteness of Subgroups of self-homotopy equivalences*, Contemp. Math., **181** (1995), 1–25.
- [5] M. Arkowitz, G. Lupton, *Rational obstruction theory and rational homotopy sets*, Math. Z. **235** (2000), no. 3, 525–539.
- [6] J. Barge, *Structures différentiables sur les types d’homotopie rationnelle simplement connexes*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4), **9** (1976), no.4, 469–501.
- [7] M. Benkhalifa, *Rational self-homotopy equivalences and Whitehead exact sequence*, J. of Homotopy Relat. Struct., **4** (2009), 111–121.
- [8] M. Benkhalifa, *Realizability of the group of rational self-homotopy equivalences*, J. of Homotopy Relat. Struct., **5** (2010), 361–372.
- [9] B. Bollobás, *Modern graph theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **184**. Springer-Verlag, New York, (1998).
- [10] A.H. Copeland, A.O. Shar, *Images and pre-Images of localization maps*, Pacific J. Math. **57** (1975), no. 2, 349–358.
- [11] C. Costoya, A. Viruel, *Faithful actions on Differential Graded Algebras do determine the isomorphism type of finite groups*, Preprint.
- [12] D. Crowley, C. Löh, *Functorial semi-norms on singular homology and (in)flexible manifolds*, Preprint arXiv:1103.4139v1.

- [13] F. Federinov, Y. Félix, *Realization of 2-solvable nilpotent groups as groups of classes of homotopy self-equivalences*, Topology Appl., **154** (2007), no.12, 2425–2433.
- [14] Y. Félix, *La Dichotomie Elliptique-Hyperbolique en Homotopie Rationnelle*. Astérisque, **176**, Société Mathématique de France, (1989).
- [15] Y. Félix, *Problems on mapping spaces and related subjects*, in: *Homotopy theory of function spaces and related topics*, Contemp. Math., **519** (2010), 217–230.
- [16] Y. Félix, S. Halperin, J.C. Thomas, *Elliptic spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **25** (1991), no. 1, 69–73.
- [17] Y. Félix, S. Halperin, J.C. Thomas, *Rational Homotopy Theory*. Fourth edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **205**. Springer-Verlag, (2001).
- [18] R. Frucht, *Herstellung von Graphen mit vorgegebener abstrakter Gruppe*, Compositio Math., **6** (1939), 239–250.
- [19] R. Frucht, *Graphs of degree 3 with given abstract group*, Canad. J. Math., **1** (1949), 365–378.
- [20] M. Gromov, *Metric Structures for Riemannian and Non-Riemannian Spaces* with appendices by M. Katz, P. Pansu and S. Semmes, translated from the French by Sean Michael Bates. Volume 152 of *Progress in Mathematics*, Birkhäuser, 1999.
- [21] S. Halperin, *Finiteness in the minimal models of Sullivan*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **230** (1977), 173–199.
- [22] D. Kahn, *Realization problems for the group of homotopy classes of self-equivalences*, Math. Ann., **220** (1976), no. 1, 37–46.
- [23] D. Kahn, *The Rigidity Problem for stable spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **75** (1979), no. 1, 139–144.
- [24] D. Kahn, *Some Research Problems on homotopy-self-equivalences*, in: *Groups of Self-Equivalences and Related Topics*, Lecture Notes in Math., **1425**, Springer, Berlin, (1990), 204–207.
- [25] P. Lambrechts, D. Stanley, *Poincaré duality and commutative differential graded algebras*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., **41** (2008), 495–509.
- [26] K. Maruyama, *Finite complexes whose self-homotopy equivalence groups realize the infinite cyclic group*, Canad. Math. Bull. **37** (1994), no. 4, 534–536.
- [27] J. Nešetřil, *Homomorphisms of derivative graphs*, Discrete Math. **1** (1971/72), 257–268.
- [28] S. Oka, *Finite complexes whose self-homotopy equivalences form cyclic groups*, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyushu Univ. Ser. A. **34** (1980), no. 1, 171–181.
- [29] P. Puppe, *Simply connected 6-dimensional manifolds with little symmetry and algebras with small tangent space*. In *Prospects in topology* (Princeton, NJ, 1994), volume 138 of Ann. of Math. Stud. 283–302.
- [30] J. Rutter, *Spaces of homotopy self-equivalences. A Survey*, Lecture Notes in Math., **1662**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1997).
- [31] G. Sabidussi, *Graphs with given group and given graph-theoretical properties*, Canad. J. Math, **9** (1957), 515–525.
- [32] D. Sullivan, *Infinitesimal computations in topology*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math, **47** (1977), 269–331.

(C. Costoya) DEPARTAMENTO DE COMPUTACIÓN, ÁLXEBRA, UNIVERSIDADE DA CORUÑA, CAMPUS DE ELVIÑA, S/N, 15071 - A CORUÑA, SPAIN.

*E-mail address*, C. Costoya: [cristina.costoya@udc.es](mailto:cristina.costoya@udc.es)

(A. Viruel) DEPARTAMENTO DE ÁLGEBRA, GEOMETRÍA Y TOPOLOGÍA, UNIVERSIDAD DE MÁLAGA, APDO CORREOS 59, 29080 MÁLAGA, SPAIN.

*E-mail address*, A. Viruel: [viruel@agt.cie.uma.es](mailto:viruel@agt.cie.uma.es)